State Bar Court of California **Hearing Department** San Francisco **ACTUAL SUSPENSION** Counsel For The State Bar Case Number(s): For Court use only 15-O-14725-PEM Carla L. Cheung **Deputy Trial Counsel PUBLIC MATTER** 180 Howard Street San Francisco, CA 94105 (415) 538-2291 Bar # 291562 In Pro Per Respondent Alan Vance McAllister 586 N 1st St STATE BAR COURT CLERK'S OFFICE Ste 222B SAN FRANCISCO San Jose, CA 95112-5365 (669) 230-4167 Submitted to: Assigned Judge STIPULATION RE FACTS, CONCLUSIONS OF LAW AND Bar # 57733 DISPOSITION AND ORDER APPROVING In the Matter of: **ALAN VANCE MCALLISTER ACTUAL SUSPENSION** ☐ PREVIOUS STIPULATION REJECTED Bar # 57733 A Member of the State Bar of California (Respondent)

Note: All information required by this form and any additional information which cannot be provided in the space provided, must be set forth in an attachment to this stipulation under specific headings, e.g., "Facts," "Dismissals," "Conclusions of Law," "Supporting Authority," etc.

A. Parties' Acknowledgments:

- (1) Respondent is a member of the State Bar of California, admitted **December 19, 1973**.
- (2) The parties agree to be bound by the factual stipulations contained herein even if conclusions of law or disposition are rejected or changed by the Supreme Court.
- (3) All investigations or proceedings listed by case number in the caption of this stipulation are entirely resolved by this stipulation and are deemed consolidated. Dismissed charge(s)/count(s) are listed under "Dismissals." The stipulation consists of 10 pages, not including the order.
- (4) A statement of acts or omissions acknowledged by Respondent as cause or causes for discipline is included under "Facts."





(Effective July 1, 2015)

(Do	not wr	ite above this line.)				
(5)	Co La	onclusions of law, drawn from and specifically referring to the facts are also included under "Conclusions of w".				
(6)	Th "Si	e parties must include supporting authority for the recommended level of discipline under the heading upporting Authority."				
(7)	No pe	more than 30 days prior to the filing of this stipulation, Respondent has been advised in writing of any nding investigation/proceeding not resolved by this stipulation, except for criminal investigations.				
(8)	Pa 61	yment of Disciplinary Costs—Respondent acknowledges the provisions of Bus. & Prof. Code §§6086.10 & 40.7. (Check one option only):				
	 Until costs are paid in full, Respondent will remain actually suspended from the practice of law unless relief is obtained per rule 5.130, Rules of Procedure. Costs are to be paid in equal amounts prior to February 1 for the following membership years: (Hardship, special circumstances or other good cause per rule 5.132, Rules of Procedure.) If Respondent fails to pay any installment as described above, or as may be modified by the State Bar Court, the remaining balance is due and payable immediately. Costs are waived in part as set forth in a separate attachment entitled "Partial Waiver of Costs". 					
ľ	VIISC	ravating Circumstances [Standards for Attorney Sanctions for Professional conduct, standards 1.2(h) & 1.5]. Facts supporting aggravating circumstances are ired.				
(1)	□ (a)	Prior record of discipline State Bar Court case # of prior case				
	(b)	☐ Date prior discipline effective				
	(c)	Rules of Professional Conduct/ State Bar Act violations:				
	(d)	Degree of prior discipline				
	(e)	If Respondent has two or more incidents of prior discipline, use space provided below.				
(2)		Intentional/Bad Faith/Dishonesty: Respondent's misconduct was dishonest, intentional, or surrounded by, or followed by bad faith.				
(3)		Misrepresentation: Respondent's misconduct was surrounded by, or followed by, misrepresentation.				
(4)		Concealment: Respondent's misconduct was surrounded by, or followed by, concealment.				
(5)		Overreaching: Respondent's misconduct was surrounded by, or followed by, overreaching.				
(6)		Uncharged Violations: Respondent's conduct involves uncharged violations of the Business and Professions Code, or the Rules of Professional Conduct.				
7)		Trust Violation: Trust funds or property were involved and Respondent refused or was unable to account to the client or person who was the object of the misconduct for improper conduct toward said funds or property.				

(Do n	(Do not write above this line.)				
(8)	\boxtimes	Harm: Respondent's misconduct harmed significantly a client, the public, or the administration of justice. See attachment, pg. 8.			
(9)		Indifference: Respondent demonstrated indifference toward rectification of or atonement for the consequences of his or her misconduct.			
(10)		Candor/Lack of Cooperation: Respondent displayed a lack of candor and cooperation to victims of his/her misconduct, or to the State Bar during disciplinary investigations or proceedings.			
(11)		Multiple Acts: Respondent's current misconduct evidences multiple acts of wrongdoing.			
(12)		Pattern: Respondent's current misconduct demonstrates a pattern of misconduct.			
(13)		Restitution: Respondent failed to make restitution.			
(14)		Vulnerable Victim: The victim(s) of Respondent's misconduct was/were highly vulnerable.			
(15)		No aggravating circumstances are involved.			
Addi	tiona	al aggravating circumstances:			
		/A			
C. N	litig ircu	ating Circumstances [see standards 1.2(i) & 1.6]. Facts supporting mitigating mstances are required.			
(1)		No Prior Discipline: Respondent has no prior record of discipline over many years of practice coupled with present misconduct which is not likely to recur.			
(2)		No Harm: Respondent did not harm the client, the public, or the administration of justice.			
(3)		Candor/Cooperation: Respondent displayed spontaneous candor and cooperation with the victims of his/her misconduct or `to the State Bar during disciplinary investigations and proceedings.			
(4)		Remorse: Respondent promptly took objective steps demonstrating spontaneous remorse and recognition of the wrongdoing, which steps were designed to timely atone for any consequences of his/her misconduct.			
(5)		Restitution: Respondent paid \$ on in restitution to without the threat or force of disciplinary, civil or criminal proceedings.			
(6)		Delay: These disciplinary proceedings were excessively delayed. The delay is not attributable to Respondent and the delay prejudiced him/her.			
(7)		Good Faith: Respondent acted with a good faith belief that was honestly held and objectively reasonable.			
(8)		Emotional/Physical Difficulties: At the time of the stipulated act or acts of professional misconduct Respondent suffered extreme emotional difficulties or physical or mental disabilities which expert testimony would establish was directly responsible for the misconduct. The difficulties or disabilities were not the product of any illegal conduct by the member, such as illegal drug or substance abuse, and the difficulties or disabilities no longer pose a risk that Respondent will commit misconduct.			

(Do n	ot wri	te abo	ve this I	ine.)			
(9)		WIII	CHIES	inancial Stress: At the time of the misconduct, Respondent suffered from severe financial stress sulted from circumstances not reasonably foreseeable or which were beyond his/her control and re directly responsible for the misconduct.			
(10)		Far per	Family Problems: At the time of the misconduct, Respondent suffered extreme difficulties in his/her personal life which were other than emotional or physical in nature.				
(11)		God in th	od Ch ne lega	aracter: Respondent's extraordinarily good character is attested to by a wide range of references at and general communities who are aware of the full extent of his/her misconduct.			
(12)		Rel follo	nabilit owed b	ation: Considerable time has passed since the acts of professional misconduct occurred by convincing proof of subsequent rehabilitation.			
(13)		No	mitiga	ating circumstances are involved.			
Addi	tion	al mi	tigatir	ng circumstances:			
	N P	lo pri retria	or dis	cipline. See attachment, pg. 8 ulation. See attachment, pg. 8.			
D. D	isc	iplin	e:				
(1)		Stay	yed Sı	uspension:			
	(a)		Res	condent must be suspended from the practice of law for a period of			
		i.		and until Respondent shows proof satisfactory to the State Bar Court of rehabilitation and fitness to practice and present learning and ability in the general law pursuant to standard 1.2(c)(1) Standards for Attorney Sanctions for Professional Misconduct.			
		ii.		and until Respondent pays restitution as set forth in the Financial Conditions form attached to this stipulation.			
		iii.		and until Respondent does the following:			
	(b)		The	above-referenced suspension is stayed.			
(2)	\boxtimes	Prol	Probation:				
	Res of th	pond ne Su	ent mi	ust be placed on probation for a period of one year , which will commence upon the effective date court order in this matter. (See rule 9.18, California Rules of Court)			
(3)	\boxtimes	□ Actual Suspension: □ Actual Sus					
	(a)	\boxtimes	Resp of 30	condent must be actually suspended from the practice of law in the State of California for a period days.			
		i.		and until Respondent shows proof satisfactory to the State Bar Court of rehabilitation and fitness to practice and present learning and ability in the general law pursuant to standard 1.2(c)(1), Standards for Attorney Sanctions for Professional Misconduct			
		N.		and until Respondent pays restitution as set forth in the Financial Conditions form attached to this stipulation.			
		iii.		and until Respondent does the following: .			

F	Ad	ditiona	I Con	ditione	of D	robation:
С.	Au	uluvna	I COII	ullions	OIF	ropation:

(1)		If Respondent is actually suspended for two years or more, he/she must remain actually suspended until he/she proves to the State Bar Court his/her rehabilitation, fitness to practice, and present learning and ability in the general law, pursuant to standard 1.2(c)(1), Standards for Attorney Sanctions for Professional Misconduct.
(2)	\boxtimes	During the probation period, Respondent must comply with the provisions of the State Bar Act and Rules of Professional Conduct.
(3)	\boxtimes	Within ten (10) days of any change, Respondent must report to the Membership Records Office of the State Bar and to the Office of Probation of the State Bar of California ("Office of Probation"), all changes of information, including current office address and telephone number, or other address for State Bar purposes, as prescribed by section 6002.1 of the Business and Professions Code.
(4)	\boxtimes	Within thirty (30) days from the effective date of discipline, Respondent must contact the Office of Probation and schedule a meeting with Respondent's assigned probation deputy to discuss these terms and conditions of probation. Upon the direction of the Office of Probation, Respondent must meet with the probation deputy either in-person or by telephone. During the period of probation, Respondent must promptly meet with the probation deputy as directed and upon request.
(5)		Respondent must submit written quarterly reports to the Office of Probation on each January 10, April 10, July 10, and October 10 of the period of probation. Under penalty of perjury, Respondent must state whether Respondent has complied with the State Bar Act, the Rules of Professional Conduct, and all conditions of probation during the preceding calendar quarter. Respondent must also state whether there are any proceedings pending against him or her in the State Bar Court and if so, the case number and current status of that proceeding. If the first report would cover less than 30 days, that report must be submitted on the next quarter date, and cover the extended period.
		In addition to all quarterly reports, a final report, containing the same information, is due no earlier than twenty (20) days before the last day of the period of probation and no later than the last day of probation.
(6)		Respondent must be assigned a probation monitor. Respondent must promptly review the terms and conditions of probation with the probation monitor to establish a manner and schedule of compliance. During the period of probation, Respondent must furnish to the monitor such reports as may be requested, in addition to the quarterly reports required to be submitted to the Office of Probation. Respondent must cooperate fully with the probation monitor.
(7)	\boxtimes	Subject to assertion of applicable privileges, Respondent must answer fully, promptly and truthfully any inquiries of the Office of Probation and any probation monitor assigned under these conditions which are directed to Respondent personally or in writing relating to whether Respondent is complying or has complied with the probation conditions.
(8)	\boxtimes	Within one (1) year of the effective date of the discipline herein, Respondent must provide to the Office of Probation satisfactory proof of attendance at a session of the Ethics School, and passage of the test given at the end of that session.
		☐ No Ethics School recommended. Reason:
(9)	\boxtimes	Respondent must comply with all conditions of probation imposed in the underlying criminal matter and must so declare under penalty of perjury in conjunction with any quarterly report to be filed with the Office of Probation.
(10)		The following conditions are attached hereto and incorporated:

(Do 1	(Do not write above this line.)					
			Substance Abuse Conditions Medical Conditions		Law Office Management Conditions Financial Conditions	
F. 0	Other	Con	ditions Negotiated by the Parties	s:	i mancial conditions	
(1)		Con- one furth	ference of Bar Examiners, to the Office of year, whichever period is longer. Failure	mination Probat	on: Respondent must provide proof of passage of on ("MPRE"), administered by the National tion during the period of actual suspension or within its the MPRE results in actual suspension without), California Rules of Court, and rule 5.162(A) &	
			lo MPRE recommended. Reason: .			
(2)		Calli	ornia Kules of Court, and perform the acts	specii	must comply with the requirements of rule 9.20 , fied in subdivisions (a) and (c) of that rule within 30 e date of the Supreme Court's Order in this matter.	
(3)		perfo	or more, ne/sne must comply with the rec	quirement	If Respondent remains actually suspended for 90 ents of rule 9.20 , California Rules of Court, and of that rule within 120 and 130 calendar days, Court's Order in this matter.	
(4)		peno	lit for Interim Suspension [conviction red of his/her interim suspension toward the mencement of interim suspension:	eferral e stipula	cases only]: Respondent will be credited for the ated period of actual suspension. Date of	
(5)		Othe	er Conditions:			

ATTACHMENT TO

STIPULATION RE FACTS, CONCLUSIONS OF LAW AND DISPOSITION

IN THE MATTER OF:

ALAN VANCE MCALLISTER

CASE NUMBER:

15-O-14725-PEM

FACTS AND CONCLUSIONS OF LAW.

Respondent admits that the following facts are true and that he is culpable of violations of the specified statutes and/or Rules of Professional Conduct.

Case No. 15-O-14725-PEM (Complainant: Larry Taylor)

FACTS:

- 1. On December 28, 1996, Larry Taylor ("Taylor"), hired respondent to probate and disburse the estate ("Estate") of his nephew, James Dias ("Dias").
- 2. On February 13, 1997, respondent initiated probate by filing a Notice of Petition to Administer Estate in San Mateo County Superior Court, Case no. PRO102022.
- 3. Dias and his former business owned a number of real estate properties in partnership. The business partner contested the division of the partnership properties for several years, until he reached a settlement with the Estate in January 2009. The court issued an order permitting the business partner's claim against the Estate, thereby resolving any outstanding issues with regard to completing administration of the Estate.
- 4. Thereafter, respondent failed to perform any work to complete the administration of the Estate until 2014, when respondent filed notices denying creditors' claims that had been originally filed in 1997. Respondent then failed to perform any further work to complete the administration of the Estate.
- 5. From 2011 through 2015, Taylor requested numerous updates on the status of the Dias Estate, and respondent responded each time that he was working on it.
- 6. To date, respondent has not filed a petition to finalized the probate of the Dias Estate and disburse the funds of the Estate to its beneficiaries.

CONCLUSIONS OF LAW:

7. By failing to file a petition to finalize the probate and disburse the funds of the Dias Estate for approximately eight years, respondent has recklessly and repeatedly failed to perform legal services with competence, in willful violation of Rules of Professional Conduct, rule 3-110(A).

AGGRAVATING CIRCUMSTANCES.

Significant Harm to Client, Public or Administration of Justice (Std. 1.5(j)): Respondent's approximately eight-year delay in finalizing the probate of the Dias Estate has caused significant harm to the administration of justice by unduly burdening the court's docket, and significant harm to the beneficiaries by preventing the funds of the Estate from being distributed.

MITIGATING CIRCUMSTANCES.

No Prior Discipline (Std. 1.6(a)): Respondent was admitted to the State Bar on December 19, 1973. Respondent has remained eligible to practice and without discipline since then. Although respondent's misconduct is serious, he is entitled to mitigation for having practiced law for approximately 44 years without discipline. (In the Matter of Riordan (Review Dept. 2007) 5 Cal. State Bar Ct. Rptr. 41, 49.)

Pretrial Stipulation: By entering into this stipulation, respondent has acknowledged misconduct and is entitled to mitigation for recognition of wrongdoing and saving the State Bar significant resources and time. (Silva-Vidor v. State Bar (1989) 49 Cal.3d 1071, 1079 [where mitigative credit was given for entering into a stipulation as to facts and culpability]; In the Matter of Spaith (Review Dept. 1996) 3 Cal. State Bar Ct. Rptr. 511, 521 [where the attorney's stipulation to facts and culpability was held to be a mitigating circumstance].)

AUTHORITIES SUPPORTING DISCIPLINE.

The Standards for Attorney Sanctions for Professional Misconduct "set forth a means for determining the appropriate disciplinary sanction in a particular case and to ensure consistency across cases dealing with similar misconduct and surrounding circumstances." (Rules Proc. of State Bar, tit. IV, Stds. for Atty. Sanctions for Prof. Misconduct, std. 1.1. All further references to standards are to this source.) The standards help fulfill the primary purposes of discipline, which include: protection of the public, the courts and the legal profession; maintenance of the highest professional standards; and preservation of public confidence in the legal profession. (See std. 1.1; *In re Morse* (1995) 11 Cal.4th 184, 205.)

Although not binding, the standards are entitled to "great weight" and should be followed "whenever possible" in determining level of discipline. (In re Silverton (2005) 36 Cal.4th 81, 92, quoting In re Brown (1995) 12 Cal.4th 205, 220 and In re Young (1989) 49 Cal.3d 257, 267, fn. 11.) Adherence to the standards in the great majority of cases serves the valuable purpose of eliminating disparity and assuring consistency, that is, the imposition of similar attorney discipline for instances of similar attorney misconduct. (In re Naney (1990) 51 Cal.3d 186, 190.) If a recommendation is at the high end or low end of a standard, an explanation must be given as to how the recommendation was reached. (Std. 1.1.) "Any disciplinary recommendation that deviates from the Standards must include clear reasons for the departure." (Std. 1.1; Blair v. State Bar (1989) 49 Cal.3d 762, 776, fn. 5.)

In determining whether to impose a sanction greater or less than that specified in a given standard, in addition to the factors set forth in the specific standard, consideration is to be given to the primary purposes of discipline; the balancing of all aggravating and mitigating circumstances; the type of misconduct at issue; whether the client, public, legal system or profession was harmed; and the member's willingness and ability to conform to ethical responsibilities in the future. (Stds. 1.7(b) and (c).)

Standard 2.7(c) provides that: "Suspension or reproval is the presumed sanction for performance, communication, or withdrawal violations, which are limited in scope or time. The degree of sanction depends on the extent of the misconduct and the degree of harm to the client or clients." Although not limited in time, respondent's misconduct was limited in scope because it involved only one client matter. In aggravation, respondent's misconduct cause harm to the beneficiaries of the Estate and the administration of justice. In mitigation, respondent has 44 years of practice without prior discipline and has entered into a pretrial stipulation. Given the length of respondent's misconduct and the harm incurred, a period of actual suspension is warranted.

Case law is instructive. In Layton v. State Bar (1990) 268 Cal. Rptr. 845, the court imposed a 30-day actual suspension for an attorney who violated the Rules of Professional Conduct, rule 3-110 (then rule 6-101) and Business and Professions code section 6103. In that matter, the attorney had been hired to be the attorney and executor for a deceased client's estate, which he mismanaged and failed to close for approximately five years. The attorney received mitigation credit for his 30 years of practice without discipline.

In this matter, respondent's conduct is comparable to the attorney in *Layton*, in that he failed to take appropriate steps to close the Dias Estate for a period of several years. There are similar factors in aggravation and mitigation, including significant consideration for a lengthy history of discipline-free practice. Accordingly, discipline on par with the *Layton* case is appropriate.

DISMISSALS.

The parties respectfully request the Court to dismiss the following alleged violations in the interest of justice:

Case No.	Count	Alleged Violation
15-O-14725	2	6106
15-O-14725	3	6106
15-O-14725	4	6106
15-O-14725	5	3-700(D)(2)

COSTS OF DISCIPLINARY PROCEEDINGS.

Respondent acknowledges that the Office of Chief Trial Counsel has informed respondent that as of August 30, 2017, the discipline costs in this matter are \$3,758. Respondent further acknowledges that should this stipulation be rejected or should relief from the stipulation be granted, the costs in this matter may increase due to the cost of further proceedings.

EXCLUSION FROM MINIMUM CONTINUING LEGAL EDUCATION ("MCLE") CREDIT

Respondent may <u>not</u> receive MCLE credit for completion of State Bar Ethics School, and/or any other educational course(s) to be ordered as a condition of reproval or suspension. (Rules Proc. of State Bar, rule 3201.)

(Do not write above this line.)		
In the Matter of: Alan Vance McAllister	Case number(s): 15-O-14725-PEM	

SIGNATURE OF THE PARTIES

By their signatures below, the parties and their counsel, as applicable, signify their agreement with each of the recitations and each of the terms and conditions of this Stipulation Re Facts, Conclusions of Law, and Disposition.

8-30-2017 Date	Alew Kince Mc alling Respondent's Signature	Alan Vance McAllister Alan Vance McAllister
# Date	Respondent's Counsel Signature	Print Name
9/5/17 Date	Deputy Trial Counsel's Signature	Carla L. Cheuna Carla L. Cheung

(Do not write al	bove this line.)				
In the Matte Alan Vano	er of: ce McAllister	Case Number(s): 15-O-14725-PEM			
	ACTUAL SUSPI	ENSION ORDER			
Finding the s requested di	stipulation to be fair to the parties and that it ad smissal of counts/charges, if any, is GRANTED	equately protects the public, IT IS ORDERED that the without prejudice, and:			
	The stipulated facts and disposition are APPI Supreme Court.	ROVED and the DISCIPLINE RECOMMENDED to the			
\boxtimes	The stipulated facts and disposition are APPI DISCIPLINE IS RECOMMENDED to the Sup	ROVED AS MODIFIED as set forth below, and the reme Court.			
×	All Hearing dates are vacated.				
On p. 4, para. D. Discipline, (1) Stayed Suspension: The boxes are hereby checked for "Stayed Suspension"; paragraph (a) "Respondent must be suspended for a period of one year; and paragraph (b) "The above-referenced suspension is stayed."					
		-			
within 15 day stipulation. (S	s after service of this order, is granted; or 2) thi See rule 5.58(E) & (F), Rules of Procedure.) Th	: 1) a motion to withdraw or modify the stipulation, filed is court modifies or further modifies the approved e effective date of this disposition is the effective date er file date. (See rule 9.18(a), California Rules of			
Sept. Date	Sept. 12, 2017 PAT E. MCELROY Judge of the State Bar Court				

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

[Rules Proc. of State Bar; Rule 5.27(B); Code Civ. Proc., § 1013a(4)]

I am a Case Administrator of the State Bar Court of California. I am over the age of eighteen and not a party to the within proceeding. Pursuant to standard court practice, in the City and County of San Francisco, on September 12, 2017, I deposited a true copy of the following document(s):

STIPULATION RE FACTS, CONCLUSIONS OF LAW AND DISPOSITION AND ORDER APPROVING

in a sealed envelope for collection and mailing on that date as follows:

by first-class mail, with postage thereon fully prepaid, through the United States Postal Service at San Francisco, California, addressed as follows:

ALAN VANCE MCALLISTER LAW OFFICES OF ALAN VANCE MCALLISTER 586 N 1ST ST STE 222B SAN JOSE, CA 95112 - 5365

by interoffice mail through a facility regularly maintained by the State Bar of California addressed as follows:

Carla L. Cheung, Enforcement, San Francisco

I hereby certify that the foregoing is true and correct. Executed in San Francisco, California, on September 12, 2017.

George Hug

Case Administrator State Bar Court